

**Amendment to the Drawings:**

The attached sheets of drawings include proposed amendment to Figs. 1-3.

In Fig. 1, (1) the solid lines showing the interior pedestrian access corridor 214 at the ground floor have been replaced by broken lines; (2) the lines of the entry garage 200 and the liner building 210, as well as the parking spaces 226, have been corrected to be uninterrupted; and (3) the solid lines showing the ground floor entrance 213 has been replaced by broken lines.

In Fig. 2, (1) the position of the ground floor entrance 213 of the entry garage 200 has been corrected to be consistent with Fig. 1; and (2) the broken lines illustrating the interior pedestrian access corridor 214 at the ground floor have been added.

In Fig. 3, the position of line 1-1 has been corrected to be consistent with Fig. 1 as filed.

Attachment: Replacement sheets

Annotated Sheets showing the changes

## REMARKS

### 1. Remarks on the Amendment

The Specification has been amended in response to the Objection under 35 USC §112, six paragraph.

Claims 26 and 38 have been amended to more specifically define Applicant's claimed invention.

Claim 27 has been canceled.

Antecedent basis of the amendment of Claim 26 can be found in canceled Claim 27, and on page 8, the fifth paragraph and on page 10, lines 1-2, and in Fig. 5.

Applicant submits that no new matter is introduced by the amendment.

### 2. Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant appreciates Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter defined in Claim 27.

Independent Claim 26 has been amended to incorporate Claim 27, which is equivalent to rewritten Claim 27 to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Consequently, Claim 27 has been canceled.

Moreover, independent Claim 26 has been amended to overcome the rejection 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as provided in Section 3 of this Response.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that Claim 26 and its depending claims, namely Claims 28-41, are allowable.

3. Response to the Rejection under 35 USC §112, Second and Six Paragraphs

Claims 26 and 28-41 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. This rejection has been traversed by amendment.

Claims 26 and 38 have been amended to replace the phrase “means to access” by corresponding structure “back doors” as described in application. As stated above, the support can be found on page 8, the fifth paragraph and on page 10, lines 1-2, and in Fig. 5.

Applicant submits that in the Specification as filed, the back doors have been explicitly described and shown in the drawings, which provides antecedent basis for the amended Claims 26 and 38. Therefore, no amendment of the Specification is necessary.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection of the Specification and the rejection of Claims 26 and 28-41 under 35 U.S.C. §112.

4. Response to the Objection to the Drawings

Figs. 1, 2 and 3 have been amended to clarify the relationship of the interior pedestrian corridor 214 with the remaining structure of the entry garage 200, and to be

consistent among the three drawings.

As amended, in Fig. 1 the interior pedestrian access corridor 214 at the ground floor is now correctly shown by broken lines. At the same time, the lines of the entry garage 200 and the liner building 210, as well as the parking spaces 226, showing the structure at the second floor have been corrected to be uninterrupted.

In Fig. 2, the broken lines showing the interior pedestrian access corridor 214 at the ground floor have been added in the entry garage 200 to illustrate the position of the interior pedestrian access corridor 214 in the whole complex.

In Fig. 3, the position of line 1-1 has been corrected to be consistent with Fig. 1.

As amended, the drawings clearly show how the interior pedestrian corridor 214 shown in Fig. 1 relates to the remaining structure. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection to the drawings.

#### 5. Response to the Rejection under 35 USC §103(a)

Claims 26 and 28-41 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a) as being unpatentable over Mason (U.S. Patent No. 1,830,518). This rejection has been traversed by amendment.

As stated above, in Section 3 of this Response, independent Claim 26 has been amended to incorporate allowable Claim 27. As such, amended Claim 26 is now allowable.

Claims 28-41 are dependent upon independent Claim 26. Under the principles of

35 U.S.C. §112, 4th paragraph, all of the limitations of each independent claim are recited in its respective dependent claims. As described above, the amended independent Claim 26 is allowable, as such Claims 28-41 are submitted as being allowable over the art of record.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 26 and 28-41 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

It is respectfully submitted that Claims 26 and 28-41, the pending claims, are now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Applicant's Agent respectfully requests direct telephone communication from the Examiner with a view toward any further action deemed necessary to place the application in final condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

---

March 23, 2010  
Date of Signature

---

/Yi Li/  
By: Yi Li  
Registration No. 44,211  
Phone: 305-776-2450

Please address correspondence to:  
Customer No: 27353